## ON CONSTANT-SIZE GRAPHS THAT PRESERVE THE LOCAL STRUCTURE OF HIGH-GIRTH GRAPHS

H. F., PAN PENG, CHRISTIAN SOHLER

Hendrik Fichtenberger

26. August 2015

TU Dortmund, Germany



• There are many ways to define the *local structure* of a graph



- There are many ways to define the *local structure* of a graph
- We are interested in the local structure of vertices ...



- There are many ways to define the *local structure* of a graph
- We are interested in the local structure of vertices ...
- ... and the subgraph around them



- There are many ways to define the *local structure* of a graph
- We are interested in the local structure of vertices ....
- ... and the subgraph 

   around them
- We will summarize over all vertices in a graph



• Let

- G = (V, E) be a graph
- $k \ge 0$  be an integer

k = 2



• Let

- G = (V, E) be a graph
- $k \ge 0$  be an integer
- $u \in V \bullet$  be a node

k = 2



k = 2

## • Let

- G = (V, E) be a graph
- $k \ge 0$  be an integer
- $u \in V \bullet$  be a node
- *k*-disc of *u*:
  - Subgraph induced by all vertices 

     within distance at most k to u
  - Rooted at u

- Let
  - G = (V, E) be a graph
  - $\cdot k \ge 0$  be an integer

k = 1



- Let
  - G = (V, E) be a graph
  - $\cdot k \ge 0$  be an integer
- freq<sub>k</sub>(G): k-disc frequency vector
  - Vector indexed by all *k*-disc isomorphism types
  - Counts the fraction of each type of *k*-disc in *G*



- Let
  - G = (V, E) be a graph
  - $\cdot k \ge 0$  be an integer
- freq<sub>k</sub>(G): k-disc frequency vector
  - Vector indexed by all *k*-disc isomorphism types
  - Counts the fraction of each type of *k*-disc in *G*



- Let
  - G = (V, E) be a graph
  - $\cdot k \ge 0$  be an integer
- freq<sub>k</sub>(G): k-disc frequency vector
  - Vector indexed by all *k*-disc isomorphism types
  - Counts the fraction of each type of *k*-disc in *G*

## From now on

- All graphs are undirected and have maximum degree d
- *d* and *k* are some constants

## Question

## [http://sublinear.info/42]

Given  $\epsilon, k > 0$  and a bounded-degree graph *G*, is there always a small graph *H* of size  $f(\epsilon, d, k)$  such that

 $\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$ ?

Intuition Approximate the local structure of a large bounded-degree graph by a constant-size graph

## Question

## [http://sublinear.info/42]

Given  $\epsilon, k > 0$  and a bounded-degree graph *G*, is there always a small graph *H* of size  $f(\epsilon, d, k)$  such that

 $\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$ ?

Intuition Approximate the local structure of a large bounded-degree graph by a constant-size graph
 Answer Yes! There is a simple proof by Alon<sup>1</sup>.
 However, no (explicit) bound on |V(H)| is known.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>see Lovász, Large Networks and Graph Limits, 2012

## Question

## [http://sublinear.info/42]

Given  $\epsilon, k > 0$  and a bounded-degree graph *G*, is there always a small graph *H* of size  $f(\epsilon, d, k)$  such that

 $\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$ ?

Intuition Approximate the local structure of a large bounded-degree graph by a constant-size graph

Answer Yes! There is a simple proof by Alon<sup>1</sup>. However, no (explicit) bound on |V(H)| is known.

In this talk Bound for special case where all k-discs are trees

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>see Lovász, Large Networks and Graph Limits, 2012

## Theorem

Given query access to the adjacency lists of a graph *G* with girth > 2k + 1 and with maximum degree *d*, the algorithm outputs a graph *H* of size at most  $f_1(d, k) \cdot e^{-2} \delta^{-1}$  that satisfies

 $\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$ 

with probability  $1 - \delta$ . Its running time is independent of G.

## Theorem

Given query access to the adjacency lists of a graph *G* with girth > 2k + 1 and with maximum degree *d*, the algorithm outputs a graph *H* of size at most  $f_1(d, k) \cdot e^{-2} \delta^{-1}$  that satisfies

 $\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$ 

with probability  $1 - \delta$ . Its running time is independent of G.

**Relations** • Regularity lemma and graph limits<sup>2</sup>

• Property Testing<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>see Elek, On the Limit of Large Girth Graph Sequences, 2010
 <sup>3</sup>e.g., Newman, Sohler, Every Property of Hyperfinite Graphs Is Testable, 2011

#### **IDEA OF THE CONSTRUCTION**



# **Task** Given G = (V, E), construct small graph H with similar k-disc distribution

Idea 1. Sample a small set of vertices V<sub>1</sub>  $\bigcirc$ 

#### **IDEA OF THE CONSTRUCTION**



Task Given G = (V, E), construct small graph H with similar k-disc distribution
Idea 1. Sample a small set of vertices V<sub>1</sub> ●
2. For every k-disc type Δ, we picked (fraction of Δ in G ± ε) · |V<sub>1</sub>| vertices with k-disc Δ w.h.p.

#### **IDEA OF THE CONSTRUCTION**



**Task** Given G = (V, E), construct small graph H with similar k-disc distribution

Idea 1. Sample a small set of vertices  $V_1 \bullet$ 

2. For every *k*-disc type  $\Delta$ , we picked

(fraction of  $\Delta$  in  $G \pm \epsilon$ )  $\cdot |V_1|$ 

vertices with *k*-disc  $\Delta$  w.h.p.

- 3. We would like to choose  $H := G[V_1]$ 
  - $E(V_1, V \setminus V_1)$  might be large
  - Deleting all these edges alters many *k*-discs
  - Need a way to reduce size of cut...



## Observation 1

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors



## **Observation 1**

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors

## Observation 2

If G has girth > 2k + 1, then all its k-discs are trees



## **Observation 1**

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors

## **Observation 2**

If G has girth > 2k + 1, then all its k-discs are trees



## **Observation 1**

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors

## **Observation 2**

If G has girth > 2k + 1, then all its k-discs are trees



## **Observation 1**

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors

## **Observation 2**

If G has girth > 2k + 1, then all its k-discs are trees



## **Observation 1**

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors

## **Observation 2**

If G has girth > 2k + 1, then all its k-discs are trees



## **Observation 1**

A k-disc is the union of the (k-1)-discs of its root's neighbors

## **Observation 2**

If G has girth > 2k + 1, then all its k-discs are trees

Rewiring Change edges without changing k-disc of ●
Remark To do this, k-disc must be cycle-free





1. Let

- $V_1$  be our sample,  $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$
- $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  be *k*-disc isomorphism types
- 2. Assume that there is
  - an edge  $(x_1, y_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t. disc<sub>k</sub> $(x_1) \simeq \Delta_1 \bullet$ , disc<sub>k</sub> $(y_2) \simeq \Delta_2 \bullet$



1. Let

- $V_1$  be our sample,  $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$
- $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  be *k*-disc isomorphism types
- 2. Assume that there is
  - an edge  $(x_1, y_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t. disc<sub>k</sub> $(x_1) \simeq \Delta_1 \bullet$ , disc<sub>k</sub> $(y_2) \simeq \Delta_2 \bullet$
  - an edge  $(y_1, x_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{disc}_k(y_1) \simeq \Delta_2 \bullet$$
,  $\operatorname{disc}_k(x_2) \simeq \Delta_1 \bullet$ 



1. Let

- $V_1$  be our sample,  $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$
- $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  be *k*-disc isomorphism types
- 2. Assume that there is
  - an edge  $(x_1, y_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t. disc<sub>k</sub> $(x_1) \simeq \Delta_1 \bullet$ , disc<sub>k</sub> $(y_2) \simeq \Delta_2 \bullet$
  - an edge  $(y_1, x_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t.
    - $\operatorname{disc}_k(y_1) \simeq \Delta_2 \bullet$ ,  $\operatorname{disc}_k(x_2) \simeq \Delta_1 \bullet$
  - {disc<sub>k</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>), disc<sub>k</sub>(y<sub>2</sub>)} and
     {disc<sub>k</sub>(y<sub>1</sub>), disc<sub>k</sub>(x<sub>2</sub>)} do not overlap



## 1. Let

- $V_1$  be our sample,  $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$
- $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  be *k*-disc isomorphism types
- 2. Assume that there is
  - an edge  $(x_1, y_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t. disc<sub>k</sub> $(x_1) \simeq \Delta_1 \bigoplus$ , disc<sub>k</sub> $(y_2) \simeq \Delta_2 \bigoplus$
  - an edge  $(y_1, x_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$  s.t.
    - $\operatorname{disc}_k(y_1) \simeq \Delta_2 \bullet$ ,  $\operatorname{disc}_k(x_2) \simeq \Delta_1 \bullet$
  - {disc<sub>k</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>), disc<sub>k</sub>(y<sub>2</sub>)} and
     {disc<sub>k</sub>(y<sub>1</sub>), disc<sub>k</sub>(x<sub>2</sub>)} do not overlap

3. Then, we

- remove  $(x_1, y_2), (y_1, x_2)$  and then
- insert  $(x_1, y_1), (y_2, x_2)$

#### Lemma

One can rewire edges without changing the k-disc distribution of G until the cut between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  has size at most f(d, k).

#### Lemma

One can rewire edges without changing the k-disc distribution of G until the cut between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  has size at most f(d, k).



Proof considers two cases:

- There are two edges with the same pair of k-discs that are not too close
  - $\rightarrow$  rewiring changes no *k*-disc up to isomorphism

#### Lemma

One can rewire edges without changing the k-disc distribution of G until the cut between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  has size at most f(d, k).



Proof considers two cases:

- There are two edges with the same pair of k-discs that are not too close
  - $\rightarrow$  rewiring changes no *k*-disc up to isomorphism
- 2. No such edges exist  $\rightarrow |E(V_1, V_2)|$  is small

Case 1: Edges can be rewired



• Find an edge  $(x_1, y_2)$  and a counterpart  $(y_1, x_2)$  at distance  $\geq 2k + 1$  for rewiring

## Case 1: Edges can be rewired



- Find an edge  $(x_1, y_2)$  and a counterpart  $(y_1, x_2)$  at distance  $\geq 2k + 1$  for rewiring
- Remove  $(x_1, y_2), (y_1, x_2)$  and add  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$  $\rightarrow$  size of cut decreases

## Case 1: Edges can be rewired



- Find an edge  $(x_1, y_2)$  and a counterpart  $(y_1, x_2)$  at distance  $\geq 2k + 1$  for rewiring
- Remove  $(x_1, y_2), (y_1, x_2)$  and add  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$  $\rightarrow$  size of cut decreases
- Prove that
  - *k*-discs before and after rewiring are isomorphic
  - graph has still high girth

## Case 2: No edge can be rewired



- For all *k*-discs  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ :
  - $|E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_1 \bullet, \Delta_2 \bullet)| \approx |E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_2 \bullet, \Delta_1 \bullet)|$

## Case 2: No edge can be rewired



- For all *k*-discs  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ :
  - $|E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_1 \bullet, \Delta_2 \bullet)|$

 $\approx |E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_2 \bullet, \Delta_1 \bullet)|$ 

• Let  $(x_1, y_2)$  be an edge from  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ 

## Case 2: No edge can be rewired



- For all *k*-discs  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ :
  - $|E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_1 \bullet, \Delta_2 \bullet)|$

 $\approx |E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_2 \bullet, \Delta_1 \bullet)|$ 

- Let  $(x_1, y_2)$  be an edge from  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$
- If we cannot find  $(y_1, x_2)$ , then  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_2, \Delta_1)$  is small

## Case 2: No edge can be rewired



- For all *k*-discs  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ :
  - $|E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_1 \bullet, \Delta_2 \bullet)|$

 $\approx |E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_2 \bullet, \Delta_1 \bullet)|$ 

- Let  $(x_1, y_2)$  be an edge from  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$
- If we cannot find  $(y_1, x_2)$ , then  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_2, \Delta_1)$  is small
- Hence,  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is small

## Case 2: No edge can be rewired



- For all *k*-discs  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ :
  - $|E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_1 \bullet, \Delta_2 \bullet)|$

 $\approx |E(V_1 \times V_2) \cap (\Delta_2 \bullet, \Delta_1 \bullet)|$ 

- Let  $(x_1, y_2)$  be an edge from  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$
- If we cannot find  $(y_1, x_2)$ , then  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_2, \Delta_1)$  is small
- Hence,  $E(V_1, V_2) \cap (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is small
- Remove all edges in  $E(V_1, V_2)$   $\rightarrow$  only few k-discs are changed



Input Graph G = (V, E) with girth > 2k + 1Algorithm 1. Sample small set of vertices  $V_1 \bullet$ 



Input Graph G = (V, E) with girth > 2k + 1Algorithm 1. Sample small set of vertices  $V_1 \bullet$ 2. Rewire edges in cut of  $V_1$  and  $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$  as long as possible



**Input** Graph G = (V, E) with girth > 2k + 1

Algorithm 1. Sample small set of vertices  $V_1 \bullet$ 

2. Rewire edges in cut of  $V_1$  and

 $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$  as long as possible

3. Remove remaining edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ 



Input Graph G = (V, E) with girth > 2k + 1Algorithm 1. Sample small set of vertices  $V_1 \bullet$ 2. Rewire edges in cut of  $V_1$  and  $V_2 := V \setminus V_1$  as long as possible 3. Remove remaining edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ 

Output  $H := G[V_1]$ 

## Theorem

Given query access to the adjacency lists of a graph *G* with girth > 2k + 1 and with maximum degree *d*, the algorithm outputs a graph *H* of size at most  $f_1(d, k) \cdot e^{-2} \delta^{-1}$  that satisfies

$$\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$$

with probability  $1 - \delta$ . Its running time is independent of G.

## Theorem

Given query access to the adjacency lists of a graph *G* with girth > 2k + 1 and with maximum degree *d*, the algorithm outputs a graph *H* of size at most  $f_1(d, k) \cdot e^{-2} \delta^{-1}$  that satisfies

$$\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$$

with probability  $1 - \delta$ . Its running time is independent of G.

**Remark** Using a deterministic, linear-time algorithm we can improve the bound to  $|V(H)| \le f_2(d, k)/\epsilon$ .

Let *L* be the dimension of the *k*-disc frequency vector.

#### Theorem

Given query access to the adjacency lists of a graph *G* with girth > 2k + 1 and with maximum degree *d*, the algorithm outputs a graph *H* of size at most  $\frac{300d^{3k+2}L^3}{\epsilon^{2\delta}}$  that satisfies

$$\|\operatorname{freq}_k(G) - \operatorname{freq}_k(H)\|_1 \le \epsilon$$

with probability  $1 - \delta$ . Its running time is independent of G.

**Remark** Using a deterministic, linear-time algorithm we can improve the bound to  $|V(H)| \leq \frac{36d^{3k+2}L}{\epsilon}$ .

- Bertinoro Workshop on Sublinear Algorithms 2011.
  Open Problems in Data Streams, Property Testing, and Related Topics. http://sublinear.info/42.
- 📔 L. Lovász.

Large Networks and Graph Limits.

🔋 G. Elek.

On the Limit of Large Girth Graph Sequences.

I. Newman and C. Sohler.

Every Property of Hyperfinite Graphs Is Testable.